UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,453	11/21/2003	Kevin L. Bostrom	LUC-446/Bostrom 6-7-12	2590
	7590 05/21/2019 aw Group , LLC	EXAMINER		
ONE N. LASAI		TRAN, NGHI V		
44TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL	60602		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2451	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/21/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/719,453	BOSTROM ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
NGHI V. TRAN	2451	

NGHI V	V. IRAN	2451					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on t	the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 03 May 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sam application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11 periods:	(1) an amendment, affidavit appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of t							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejectio	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension ar under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than thre may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance w filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the <u>AMENDMENTS</u>	ereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	on and/or search (see NOT	E below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form appeal; and/or	for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying tr	ie issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspo	nding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	" I IN " (N O	II	TOL 004				
4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See a 5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable in	if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmen	t canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).  7. ☒ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will n		be entered and an ex	planation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided bel The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	low or appended.						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficie was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ent reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was a constant.	e <u>all</u> rejections under appea is not earlier presented.  Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the sequest FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	status of the claims after en	itry is below or attache	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does N In response to the applicant's agrument that the applied reference restrictions on incoming communications terminated to the mobil Bravo discloses the communication session restriction [= restrict communications terminated to the mobile communication device the restricted item during step 595, if a message during step 580.  Therefore, the combination of the applied references disclose classes.	tes do not teach or suggest le communication device, the ing access of a user, see por le the user is denied access to is not granted access to the	the communication some examiner respectful aragraph 0001] on incomments, i.e. terminated comments user, see paragraph	ession Ily disagrees. coming munication, to				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SE							
13. Other:							

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/John Follansbee/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2451

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100510

Application No.